arXiv:0910.5212v1 [math.RT] 27 Oct 2009

On the tensor square of irreducible representations of reductive Lie superalgebras

T. Krämer and R. Weissauer

The algebraic super representations of a semisimple Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ over an algebraically closed field k of $char(k) = 0$ form a semisimple tensor category if and only if the Lie superalgebra is a product of simple classical Lie algebras and orthosymplectic Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2m)$, see [DH]. For such Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{g}$ we classify all irreducible nontrivial super representations V whose symmetric square $S^2(V)$ or alternating square $\Lambda^2(V)$ is irreducible or decomposes into an irreducible and a trivial representation, a situation that naturally arises in [KW], [We$_1$], [We$_2$]. Obviously we may assume $\mathfrak{g}$ to be simple. For the classification we make use of a list of small representations from [AEV], extended to the super case.

For a uniform treatment including the supercase $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{osp}(1|2m)$ with the root system BC_m, the terms representation, dimension, symmetric square, trace etc. will always be understood in the super sense. For A_m, B_m, C_m, BC_m with $m \geq 1$ and for D_m with $m \geq 3$, let st denote the standard representation of dimension $m+1$, $2m+1$, $2m$, $2m-1$ and $2m$ respectively. With respect to the dominant fundamental weights $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_m$ for $\mathfrak{g}$ as introduced below the representation st has highest weight β_1, respectively $2\beta_1$ in the case of B_1.

In the formulation of theorem 1 we use exceptional isomorphisms. Thus the representation of $A_1 = C_1$ of highest weight $2\beta_1$ is the representation st of B_1, and the one of B_1 of highest weight β_1 is the representation st of $A_1 = C_1$. For $B_2 = C_2$ the second fundamental representation of the one is the standard representation of the other, and ditto for $A_3 = D_3$.

We will assume $dim(V) \geq 0$ since for the parity flip Π of the super structure we have $dim(\Pi(V)) = -dim(V)$ and $S^2(\Pi(V)) \cong \Lambda^2(V)$.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that V is a nontrivial irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ with $dim(V) \geq 0$, and let λ be its highest weight.*

a) If $S^2(V)$ is irreducible, then up to duality one has $V = st$, and $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type A_m, C_m or BC_m. If $S^2(V)$ splits as a trivial plus a nontrivial *irreducible representation, then $V = st$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type B_m or D_m, or up to duality one of the following cases occurs*

$\mathfrak{g}$	B_3	D_4	E_6	G_2
λ	β_3	β_3, β_4	β_1	β_1

b) If $\Lambda^2(V)$ is irreducible, then up to duality one has $V = st$, and $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type A_m, B_m or D_m, or up to duality one of the following cases occurs

$\mathfrak{g}$	A_m		D_4	D_5	E_6
λ	$2\beta_1$	β_2, $m \geq 2$	β_3, β_4	β_4, β_5	β_1

If $\Lambda^2(V)$ splits as a trivial plus a nontrivial irreducible representation, then $V = st$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type C_m ($m \geq 2$) or BC_m, or one of the following cases occurs

$\mathfrak{g}$	A_1	A_5	C_3	D_6	E_7
λ	$3\beta_1$	β_3	β_3	β_5, β_6	β_7

Example 1. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type A_1, the irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2)$ are of the form $V = S^n(st)$ for a unique weight $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. In this case the symmetric square $S^2(V) = \bigoplus_{i=0,1,\ldots,\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} S^{2n-4i}(st)$ is irreducible for $n = 1$, irreducible up to a trivial representation for $n = 2$, and neither of these otherwise. The alternating square $\Lambda^2(V) = \bigoplus_{i=0,1,\ldots,\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} S^{2(n-1)-4i}(st)$ is irreducible for $n \in \{1, 2\}$, irreducible up to a trivial representation for $n = 3$, and neither of these otherwise.

Example 2. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type BC_1, by [Dj$_2$] for any irreducible representation V of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ with $\dim(V) > 0$ there exists a unique weight $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that the even resp. odd parts of $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$, as representations of $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{sl}(2)$, are $V_0 = S^n(st)$ and $V_1 = S^{n-1}(st)$. The even part of $S^2(V)$, as a representation of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$, is given by

$$(S^2(V))_0 \;=\; S^2(S^n(st)) \oplus \Lambda^2(S^{n-1}(st)) \;=\; S^{2n}(st) \;\oplus\; 2 \cdot \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} S^{2n-4i}(st),$$

so $S^2(V)$ is irreducible for $n = 1$, but for $n > 1$ it contains at least three irreducible summands. Similarly

$$(\Lambda^2(V))_0 \;=\; \Lambda^2(S^n(st)) \oplus S^2(S^{n-1}(st)) \;=\; 2 \cdot \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} S^{2(n-1)-4i}(st),$$

so $\Lambda^2(V)$ contains at least two nontrivial irreducible summands for $n > 1$. For $n = 1$ it is obvious that $\Lambda^2(V)$ splits into the trivial and a non-trivial irreducible representation.

In view of the above two examples, in what follows we may and will assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is neither of type A_1 nor of type BC_1.

Some notations. There exists an invariant nondegenerate bilinear form $(\cdot,\cdot)$ on $\mathfrak{g}$. Any other invariant form on $\mathfrak{g}$ is a multiple of this one (see [Sc] p. 94 for the case of $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2m)$). Let $\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_m$ be a system of simple roots for $\mathfrak{g}$, and let $\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_m$ denote the fundamental dominant weights given by $(\alpha_i^\vee,\beta_j)=\delta_{ij}$ for $\alpha_j^\vee = 2\alpha_j/(\alpha_j,\alpha_j)$. Then $\rho=\beta_1+\cdots+\beta_m$ is the half-sum, resp. half-super-sum as in [CT] in the case of $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2m)$, of all positive roots.

Lemma 2. *For simple* $\mathfrak{g}\neq A_1, BC_1$, *and with the index set* I *as given in table 1, one has*

$$2\,|\rho|\,max_{i\in I}(|\alpha_i^\vee|) \ < \ dim(\mathfrak{g})-1.$$

Proof. Notice that $|\rho|$ as well as the $|\alpha_i^\vee|$ depend on the chosen form $(\cdot,\cdot)$. However, the product $2\,|\rho|\,max_{i\in I}(|\alpha_i^\vee|)$ only depends on the root system. To compute it choose an isometric embedding of the dual of a Cartan algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ into Euclidean space (with standard basis $\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2,\ldots$) as in [Bo]. For $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2m)$ we choose a similar embedding following [CT], p. 353f. The lemma then is an immediate consequence of table 1.

	$\|\rho\|^2$	$max_{i\in I}\|\alpha_i^\vee\|$	$\dim(\mathfrak{g})$
A_m	$\frac{1}{12}m(m+1)(m+2)$	$\sqrt{2}$	$m(m+2)$
B_m	$\frac{1}{12}m(2m-1)(2m+1)$	2	$m(2m+1)$
C_m	$\frac{1}{6}m(m+1)(2m+1)$	$\sqrt{2}$	$m(2m+1)$
D_m	$\frac{1}{6}m(m-1)(2m-1)$	$\sqrt{2}$	$m(2m-1)$
E_6	78	$\sqrt{2}$	78
E_7	$\frac{399}{2}$	$\sqrt{2}$	133
E_8	620	$\sqrt{2}$	248
F_4	39	2	52
G_2	14	$\sqrt{2}$	14
BC_m	$\frac{1}{12}m(2m-1)(2m+1)$	$\sqrt{2}$	$m(2m-1)$

Table 1: Some numerical values depending on the type of $\mathfrak{g}$. Concerning the middle column, $I := \{1,2,\ldots,m\}$ in the non-super case, but in the case of $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2m)$ we here only consider the *even* coroots.

A list of small representations. We need to extend the classification of small representations in [AEV] to include the super case. Notice that in the case of $\mathfrak{g}=BC_1$ one has $\dim(V)=\dim(\mathfrak{g})=1$ for all irreducible representations V with $\dim(V)\geq 0$.

Lemma 3. *For simple* $\mathfrak{g}\neq BC_1$ *one has* $0\leq\dim(V_\lambda)\leq\dim(\mathfrak{g})$ *iff* λ *appears in tables 3, 4 or 5 below.*

Proof. See [AEV] for the case of ordinary simple Lie algebras. For the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{osp}(1|2m)$ with $m \geq 2$ we use the Kac-Weyl superdimension formula as given in [CT]. Following loc. cit. p. 356, the irreducible representations of $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2m)$ of nonnegative dimension are parametrized by those dominant weights which, with respect to the chosen embedding in Euclidean space, take the form $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m)$ with $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_m$. Notice that these are not all the dominant weights but only those in which the last fundamental weight $\beta_m = (\frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{2})$ enters with *even* multiplicity. For such λ, by the Kac-Weyl formula, the superdimension of the corresponding irreducible representation V_λ is

$$\dim(V_\lambda) \quad = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \Big(\frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}{j - i} + 1\Big) \;\cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \Big(\frac{\lambda_i + \lambda_j}{2m + 1 - i - j} + 1\Big).$$

If $\lambda_1 \geq 2$, the second product is ≥ 2. So the classical Weyl formula applied to the first product shows that $\dim(V_\lambda)$ is at least twice the dimension of the simple $\mathfrak{sl}(m)$-module of highest weight $\lambda' = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_m, \ldots, \lambda_{m-1} - \lambda_m)$. Since $\dim(\mathfrak{sl}(m)) \geq 2\dim(\mathfrak{osp}(1|2m))$, we are then reduced to λ' being in the list for A_{m-1} in table 3. But λ is obtained from λ' by adding a multiple of $2\beta_m = \epsilon_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_m$. Replacing λ by $\lambda + 2\beta_m$ in the Kac-Weyl formula leaves the first product unchanged but strictly increases the second one. So there only remain finitely many cases which are in table 5.

If $\lambda_1 = 1$, then $\lambda = \epsilon_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_r$ for some $r \leq m$. Then by [Dj$_2$] the even resp. odd parts of V_λ are $\Lambda^r(k^{2m})$ resp. $\Lambda^{r-1}(k^{2m})$, so $\dim(V_\lambda) = \binom{2m}{r} - \binom{2m}{r-1}$, and from this one can deduce that all relevant cases are in table 5.

Corollary 4. *Let V be a nontrivial irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ with dim$(V) \geq 0$, and assume $\mathfrak{g} \neq A_1, BC_1$. Then*

$$\dim(V) \geq 2,$$

with equality holding only in case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{osp}(1|4)$ and $V = V_{\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2}$.

The index. Assume $\mathfrak{g}$ to be simple. For any representation $\varphi : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ the form $\mathrm{tr}(\varphi(X) \circ \varphi(Y))$ is a nondegenerate invariant form on $\mathfrak{g}$, hence equal to $l(\varphi) \cdot (X, Y)$ for a unique constant $l(\varphi)$, called the *index* of φ. Obviously the index is additive under direct sums and functorial in the sense that for any representation $\psi : \mathfrak{gl}(V) \to \mathfrak{gl}(V')$ there exists a constant $l(\psi, V)$ such that $l(\psi \circ \varphi) = l(\psi, V) \cdot l(\varphi)$. If ψ is the second symmetric or alternating power, this becomes

$$l(S^2, V) = \dim(V) + 2 \qquad \text{and} \qquad l(\Lambda^2, V) = \dim(V) - 2\,.$$

To see this for $\psi = S^2$, write $V = k^{r|s}$ and notice $\varphi(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathfrak{sl}(r|s)$ since $\mathfrak{g}$ is simple. Now $r \neq s$, so $\mathfrak{sl}(r|s)$ is also simple by [Sc] p. 128. Thus it suffices to check for a suitable elementary matrix $X = e_{ii} - e_{jj} \in \mathfrak{sl}(r|s)$ that $\mathrm{tr}((S^2(X))^2)/\mathrm{tr}(X^2) = r - s + 2$. The case where $\psi = \Lambda^2$ may be treated similarly or reduced to the case $\psi = S^2$ by a parity flip.

The Casimir operator acts by a scalar on any irreducible representation V_μ with highest weight μ. This scalar is proportional to

$$c(\mu) \ = \ (\mu, \mu) + 2(\mu, \rho)\,,$$

as may be seen by looking at the action on a highest weight vector (this works for $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2m)$ just as in the classical case; see the top of p. 28 in [Dj$_2$] and p. 223 in [Dj$_1$] for the relevant setting). Notice $c(\mu) > 0$ for dominant weights $\mu \neq 0$. Hence from the definition of the index we obtain for some universal constant $\kappa := \dim(Ad) \cdot c(Ad) \neq 0$, depending only on $\mathfrak{g}$ and on $(\cdot,\cdot)$, that

$$\kappa \cdot l(V_\mu) \ = \ \dim(V_\mu) \cdot c(\mu)\,.$$

Proof of theorem 1 (the symmetric case). By assumption $\dim(V) \geq 0$, so $V = V_\lambda$ is a highest weight representation for some dominant weight λ. By corollary 4 we may also assume $n := \dim(V_\lambda) > 2$. Suppose that $S^2(V_\lambda)$ is irreducible or splits into a (then one-dimensional) trivial plus one further irreducible summand; put $\delta = 0$ resp. $\delta = 1$ in these two cases. Then we claim

$$(\dim(V_\lambda) - 2\delta) \cdot |\lambda|^2 \ = \ 2\,(\lambda, \rho)\,.$$

Since $S^2(V_\lambda) = V_{2\lambda} \oplus k^\delta$ and hence $\dim(V_{2\lambda}) = n(n+1)/2 - \delta$, this follows from the equality $(n+2)l(V_\lambda) = l(V_{2\lambda})$ for $l(S^2(V_\lambda)) = l(V_{2\lambda})$. Indeed, via the above Casimir formula for the index then $(n+2)nc(\lambda) = (n(n+1)/2 - \delta)c(2\lambda)$, hence $(n^2 - 4\delta)(\lambda, \lambda) = 2(n + 2\delta)(\lambda, \rho)$. So our claim follows from $n + 2\delta > 0$.

By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality the claim above shows that

$$|\lambda| \ \leq \ \frac{2\,|\rho|}{\dim(V_\lambda) - 2\delta}\,,$$

which by lemma 2 implies

$$|(\lambda, \alpha_i^\vee)| \ \leq \ |\lambda| \cdot |\alpha_i^\vee| \ \leq \ \frac{2\,|\rho|\, max_{i\in I}(|\alpha_i^\vee|)}{\dim(V_\lambda) - 2\delta} \ < \ \frac{\dim(\mathfrak{g}) - 1}{\dim(V_\lambda) - 2\delta}\,.$$

Since for the dominant integral weight $\lambda \neq 0$ the coefficients $(\lambda, \alpha_i^\vee)$ are integers and not all of them are zero, it follows that $dim(V_\lambda) \leq \dim(\mathfrak{g})$. Hence the proof of our theorem for $S^2(V)$ is finished by tables 3, 4 and 5.

Proof of theorem 1 (the alternating case). Now assume that $\Lambda^2(V_\lambda)$ is irreducible or splits into a (then one-dimensional) trivial plus one further irreducible summand. Again put $\delta = 0$ resp. $\delta = 1$ in these two cases. Write $\Lambda^2(V) = W \oplus k^\delta$. Then W is irreducible of dimension $n(n-1)/2 - \delta > 0$, hence we may write $W = V_\mu$ for some dominant weight μ.

For a highest weight vector v of V_λ there exists at least one simple positive root $\alpha = \alpha_i$ and $X_{-\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$ such that $w = X_{-\alpha}v \neq 0$, since otherwise V_λ would be trivial. Now $v \wedge w \neq 0$ has the weight $2\lambda - \alpha$ which is non-zero for $\mathfrak{g} \neq A_1$, so $v \wedge w$ has to come from the non-trivial summand $W = V_\mu$.

By the Poincare-Birkhoff-Witt theorem respectively its super-analogon, any weight of V_μ is in $\mu - \mathcal{P}$, where $\mathcal{P}$ is the monoid of all nonnegative integral linear combinations of the positive simple roots $\alpha_1, .., \alpha_m$. Thus $2\lambda - \alpha = \mu - \alpha'$ for some $\alpha' \in \mathcal{P}$. As a weight of $V_\lambda \otimes V_\lambda$ also $\mu \in 2\lambda - \mathcal{P}$, because the weights of V_λ are in $\lambda - \mathcal{P}$. But $\mu \neq 2\lambda$, since 2λ has multiplicity one in $V_\lambda \otimes V_\lambda$ and is the highest weight of the symmetric square $S^2(V_\lambda)$. Hence $\mu = 2\lambda - \alpha''$ for some $0 \neq \alpha'' \in \mathcal{P}$, and by a comparison $\alpha = \alpha' + \alpha''$. Since α is a simple positive root this implies $\alpha' = 0$, $\alpha = \alpha''$ and

$$\mu \;=\; 2\lambda - \alpha\,.$$

Thus $\alpha = \alpha_i$ is the unique (!) simple root with $X_{-\alpha}v \neq 0$ for $X_{-\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$. For $j \neq i$ then $h_{\alpha_j}v = [X_{\alpha_j}, X_{-\alpha_j}]v = 0$, so $(\lambda, \alpha_j^\vee) = 0$. Hence

$$\lambda = r \cdot \beta_i\,.$$

On the other hand using $n > 2$, a calculation similar to the one in the case of the symmetric square based on the relation $l(V_\mu) = l(\Lambda^2(V_\lambda)) = (n-2)\cdot l(V_\lambda)$ and the Casimir formula, gives

$$\Big(n - 1 - \frac{2\delta}{n}\Big)\Big((\alpha,\rho) + \frac{1}{2}\,|\alpha|^2 - |\lambda - \alpha|^2\Big) \;=\; \Big(1 - \frac{2\delta}{n}\Big)\cdot c(\lambda)\,,$$

so that $2\delta/n < 1$ and $c(\lambda) > 0$ imply $(\alpha,\rho) + \frac{1}{2}|\alpha|^2 - |\lambda - \alpha|^2 > 0$. For simple positive roots $(\alpha,\rho) = \frac{1}{2}|\alpha|^2$ and hence $2(\alpha,\lambda) - |\lambda|^2 \;>\; 0$. For $\lambda = r\cdot\beta_i$ this implies $2(\alpha,\lambda) - |\lambda|^2 = r(|\alpha_i|^2 - r|\beta_i|^2) > 0$, so the integer r satisfies

$$r \;<\; r_i \;:=\; \frac{|\alpha_i|^2}{|\beta_i|^2}\,.$$

This only leaves the cases $1 < r_i$ in table 2. One then checks that these are already listed in tables 3 to 5 below (for the case of A_m, one may here by duality of course assume $i < (m+1)/2$).

		r_i
A_m	$1 \leq i \leq m$	$\frac{2(m+1)}{i(m+1-i)}$
B_m, C_m, BC_m	$1 \leq i < m$ $i = m$	$\frac{2}{i}$ $\frac{4}{m}$
D_m	$1 \leq i < m-1$ $m-1 \leq i \leq m$	$\frac{2}{i}$ $\frac{8}{m}$
E_6	$i = 1, \ldots, 6$	$\frac{3}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{3}{2}$
E_7	$i = 1, \ldots, 7$	$1, \frac{4}{7}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{4}{15}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{4}{3}$
E_8	$i = 1, \ldots, 8$	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{7}, \frac{1}{15}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{3}, 1$
F_4	$i = 1, \ldots, 4$	$1, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 1$
G_2	$i = 1, 2$	1

Table 2: The values of r_i for the various types of $\mathfrak{g}$.

References

[AEV] E. M. Andreev, E. B. Vinberg, A. G. Elashvili, *Orbits of greatest dimension in semi-simple linear Lie groups.* Funct. Anal. Appl. 1, no. 4, transl. 1 (1967) 257-261.

[Bo] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie — Chapitres 4 à 6.* Reprint of the original 1968 edition, Springer (2009).

[CT] J. F. Cornwell, I. Tsohantjis, *Supercharacters and superdimensions of irreducible representations of B(O/s) orthosymplectic simple Lie superalgebras.* Int. J. of theor. Physics 29, no. 4 (1990) 351-359.

[Dj$_1$] D. Z. Djokovic, *Classification of some 2-graded Lie algebras.* J. Pure Appl. Algebra 7 (1976) 217-230.

[Dj$_2$] D. Z. Djokovic, *Representation theory for symplectic 2-graded Lie algebras.* J. Pure Appl. Algebra 9 (1976) 25-38.

[DH] D. Z. Djokovic, G. Hochschild, *Semisimplicity of 2-graded Lie algebras II*, Illinois J. Math. 20 (1976) 134-143.

[KW] T. Krämer, R. Weissauer, *The supersymmetric square of the theta divisor in genus* ≤ 4. To appear.

[Sc] M. Scheunert, *The theory of Lie superalgebras.* Springer Lecture Notes in Math. 716 (1979).

[We$_1$] R. Weissauer, *Brill-Noether sheaves.* `arXiv:math/0610923v4`.

[We$_2$] R. Weissauer, *Semisimple algebraic tensor categories.* `arXiv:0909.1793v2`.

		λ	$S^2(V_\lambda)$	$\Lambda^2(V_\lambda)$
A_m	$m = 1:$	$2\beta_1$	$V_{4\beta_1} \oplus k$	$V_{2\beta_1}$
	$m \geq 2:$	$\beta_1 + \beta_m$	$V_{2\beta_1+2\beta_m} \oplus V_{\beta_2+\beta_{m-1}} \oplus V_{\beta_1+\beta_m} \oplus k$	$V_{\beta_2+2\beta_m} \oplus V_{2\beta_1+\beta_{m-1}} \oplus V_{\beta_1+\beta_m}$
B_m	$m = 1:$	$2\beta_1$	$V_{4\beta_1} \oplus k$	$V_{2\beta_1}$
	$m = 2:$	$2\beta_2$	$V_{\beta_1} \oplus V_{2\beta_1} \oplus V_{4\beta_2} \oplus k$	$V_{\beta_1+2\beta_2} \oplus V_{2\beta_2}$
	$m = 3:$	β_2	$V_{2\beta_1} \oplus V_{2\beta_2} \oplus V_{2\beta_3} \oplus k$	$V_{\beta_1+2\beta_3} \oplus V_{\beta_2}$
	$m \geq 4:$	β_2	$V_{2\beta_1} \oplus V_{2\beta_2} \oplus V_{\beta_4} \oplus k$	$V_{\beta_1+\beta_3} \oplus V_{\beta_2}$
C_m	$m = 1:$	$2\beta_1$	$V_{4\beta_1} \oplus k$	$V_{2\beta_1}$
	$m \geq 2:$	$2\beta_1$	$V_{4\beta_1} \oplus V_{2\beta_2} \oplus V_{\beta_2} \oplus k$	$V_{2\beta_1} \oplus V_{2\beta_1+\beta_2}$
D_m	$m = 3:$	$\beta_2 + \beta_3$	$V_{2\beta_2+2\beta_3} \oplus V_{2\beta_1} \oplus V_{\beta_2+\beta_3} \oplus k$	$V_{\beta_1+2\beta_3} \oplus V_{\beta_1+2\beta_2} \oplus V_{\beta_2+\beta_3}$
	$m = 4:$	β_2	$V_{2\beta_1} \oplus V_{2\beta_2} \oplus V_{2\beta_3} \oplus V_{2\beta_4} \oplus k$	$V_{\beta_2} \oplus V_{\beta_1+\beta_3+\beta_4}$
	$m \geq 5:$	β_2	$V_{2\beta_1} \oplus V_{2\beta_2} \oplus V_{\beta_4} \oplus k$	$V_{\beta_2} \oplus V_{\beta_1+\beta_3}$
E_6		β_2	$V_{2\beta_2} \oplus V_{\beta_1+\beta_6} \oplus k$	$V_{\beta_2} \oplus V_{\beta_4}$
E_7		β_1	$V_{2\beta_1} \oplus V_{\beta_6} \oplus k$	$V_{\beta_1} \oplus V_{\beta 3}$
E_8		β_8	$V_{\beta_1} \oplus V_{2\beta_8} \oplus k$	$V_{\beta_7} \oplus V_{\beta_8}$
F_4		β_1	$V_{2\beta_1} \oplus V_{2\beta_4} \oplus k$	$V_{\beta_1} \oplus V_{\beta_2}$
G_2		β_2	$V_{2\beta_1} \oplus V_{2\beta_2} \oplus k$	$V_{3\beta_1} \oplus V_{\beta_2}$

Table 3: $S^2(V_\lambda)$ and $\Lambda^2(V_\lambda)$ in all classical cases where $\dim(V_\lambda) = \dim(\mathfrak{g})$; here the V_λ are precisely the adjoint representations by [AEV].

	λ	$S^2(V_\lambda)$	$\Lambda^2(V_\lambda)$
A_m	$m \geq 1:$ β_1	$V_{2\beta_1}$	V_{β_2}
	β_m	$V_{2\beta_m}$	$V_{\beta_{m-1}}$
	$m \geq 2:$ $2\beta_1$	$V_{4\beta_1} \oplus V_{2\beta_2}$	$V_{2\beta_1+\beta_2}$
	$2\beta_m$	$V_{4\beta_m} \oplus V_{2\beta_{m-1}}$	$V_{2\beta_m+\beta_{m-1}}$
	$m = 3:$ β_2	$V_{2\beta_2} \oplus k$	$V_{\beta_1+\beta_3}$
	$m \geq 4:$ β_2	$V_{2\beta_2} \oplus V_{\beta_4}$	$V_{\beta_1+\beta_3}$
	β_{m-1}	$V_{2\beta_{m-1}} \oplus V_{\beta_{m-3}}$	$V_{\beta_m+\beta_{m-2}}$
	$m = 5:$ β_3	$V_{2\beta_3} \oplus V_{\beta_1+\beta_5}$	$V_{\beta_2+\beta_4} \oplus k$
	$m = 6, 7:$ β_3	$V_{2\beta_3} \oplus V_{\beta_1+\beta_5}$	$V_{\beta_2+\beta_4} \oplus V_{\beta_6}$
	β_{m-2}	$V_{2\beta_{m-2}} \oplus V_{\beta_m+\beta_{m-4}}$	$V_{\beta_{m-1}+\beta_{m-3}} \oplus V_{\beta_{m-5}}$
B_m	$m = 1:$ β_1	$V_{2\beta_1}$	k
	$m \geq 2:$ β_1	$V_{2\beta_1} \oplus k$	V_{β_2}
	$m = 2:$ β_2	$V_{2\beta_2}$	$V_{\beta_1} \oplus k$
	$m = 3:$ β_3	$V_{2\beta_3} \oplus k$	$V_{\beta_1} \oplus V_{\beta_2}$
	$m = 4:$ β_4	$V_{2\beta_4} \oplus V_{\beta_1} \oplus k$	$V_{\beta_2} \oplus V_{\beta_3}$
	$m = 5:$ β_m	$V_{2\beta_m} \oplus V_{\beta_{m-3}} \oplus V_{\beta_{m-4}}$	$V_{\beta_3} \oplus V_{\beta_4} \oplus k$
	$m = 6:$ β_m	$V_{2\beta_m} \oplus V_{\beta_{m-3}} \oplus V_{\beta_{m-4}}$	$V_{\beta_1} \oplus V_{\beta_4} \oplus V_{\beta_5} \oplus k$
C_m	$m = 1:$ β_1	$V_{2\beta_1}$	k
	$m \geq 2:$ β_1	$V_{2\beta_1}$	$V_{\beta_2} \oplus k$
	$m = 2:$ β_2	$V_{2\beta_2} \oplus k$	$V_{2\beta_1}$
	$m = 3:$ β_2	$V_{\beta_2} \oplus V_{2\beta_2} \oplus k$	$V_{2\beta_1} \oplus V_{\beta_1+\beta_3}$
	$m = 3:$ β_3	$V_{2\beta_3} \oplus V_{2\beta_1}$	$V_{2\beta_2} \oplus k$
	$m \geq 4:$ β_2	$V_{\beta_4} \oplus V_{2\beta_2} \oplus V_{\beta_2} \oplus k$	$V_{\beta_1+\beta_3} \oplus V_{2\beta_1}$
D_m	$m \geq 3:$ β_1	$V_{2\beta_1} \oplus k$	V_{β_2}
	$m = 4:$ β_3	$V_{2\beta_3} \oplus k$	V_{β_2}
	β_4	$V_{2\beta_4} \oplus k$	V_{β_2}
	$m = 5:$ β_4	$V_{2\beta_4} \oplus V_{\beta_1}$	V_{β_3}
	β_5	$V_{2\beta_5} \oplus V_{\beta_1}$	V_{β_3}
	$m = 6:$ β_5	$V_{2\beta_5} \oplus V_{\beta_2}$	$V_{\beta_4} \oplus k$
	β_6	$V_{2\beta_6} \oplus V_{\beta_2}$	$V_{\beta_4} \oplus k$
	$m = 7:$ β_6	$V_{2\beta_6} \oplus V_{\beta_4}$	$V_{\beta_1} \oplus V_{\beta_5} \oplus k$
	β_7	$V_{2\beta_7} \oplus V_{\beta_4}$	$V_{\beta_1} \oplus V_{\beta_5} \oplus k$
E_6	β_1	$V_{2\beta_1} \oplus k$	V_{β_3}
	β_6	$V_{2\beta_6} \oplus k$	V_{β_5}
E_7	β_7	$V_{2\beta_7} \oplus V_{\beta_1}$	$V_{\beta_6} \oplus k$
F_4	β_4	$V_{2\beta_4} \oplus V_{\beta_4} \oplus k$	$V_{\beta_3} \oplus V_{\beta_1}$
G_2	β_1	$V_{2\beta_1} \oplus k$	$V_{\beta_1} \oplus V_{\beta_2}$

Table 4: $S^2(V_\lambda)$ and $\Lambda^2(V_\lambda)$ in all classical cases where $\dim(V_\lambda) < \dim(\mathfrak{g})$.

λ		$S^2(V_\lambda)$	$\Lambda^2(V_\lambda)$
μ_1	$m \geq 1:$	$V_{2\mu_1}$	$V_{\mu_2} \oplus k$
$2\mu_1$	$m = 1:$	$V_{4\mu_1} \oplus k \oplus \Pi V_{\mu_1}$	$V_{2\mu_1} \oplus \Pi V_{3\mu_1}$
	$m \geq 2:$	$V_{4\mu_1} \oplus V_{\mu_2} \oplus V_{2\mu_2} \oplus k$	$V_{2\mu_1+\mu_2} \oplus V_{2\mu_1}$
$\mu_1 + \mu_2$	$m = 2:$	$2V_{2\mu_1+\mu_2} \oplus V_{2\mu_1+2\mu_2}$ $\oplus 2V_{2\mu_1} \oplus \Pi V_{\mu_1+2\mu_2}$ $\oplus \Pi V_{3\mu_1} \oplus 2\Pi V_{\mu_1+\mu_2}$	$V_{4\mu_1} \oplus V_{3\mu_2} \oplus 2V_{\mu_2}$ $\oplus V_{2\mu_1+\mu_2} \oplus 2V_{2\mu_2} \oplus k$ $\oplus \Pi V_{3\mu_1+\mu_2} \oplus \Pi V_{\mu_1+2\mu_2}$ $\oplus \Pi V_{\mu_1+\mu_2} \oplus \Pi V_{\mu_1}$
μ_2	$m = 2:$	$V_{\mu_2} \oplus V_{2\mu_2} \oplus k \oplus \Pi V_{\mu_1}$	$V_{2\mu_1} \oplus \Pi V_{\mu_1+\mu_2}$
	$m = 3:$	$V_{\mu_2} \oplus V_{2\mu_2} \oplus k \oplus \Pi V_{\mu_3}$	$V_{2\mu_1} \oplus V_{\mu_1+\mu_3}$
	$m \geq 4:$	$V_{\mu_2} \oplus V_{2\mu_2} \oplus V_{\mu_4} \oplus k$	$V_{2\mu_1} \oplus V_{\mu_1+\mu_3}$
μ_3	$m = 3:$	$V_{2\mu_1} \oplus V_{2\mu_3}$ $\oplus V_{\mu_1+\mu_3} \oplus \Pi V_{\mu_1+\mu_2}$	$V_{\mu_2} \oplus V_{2\mu_2} \oplus k$ $\oplus \Pi V_{\mu_1} \oplus \Pi V_{\mu_3} \oplus \Pi V_{\mu_2+\mu_3}$
	$m = 4:$	$V_{2\mu_1} \oplus V_{2\mu_3} \oplus V_{\mu_1+\mu_3}$ $\oplus \Pi V_{\mu_1+\mu_4}$	$V_{\mu_2} \oplus V_{\mu_4} \oplus V_{\mu_2+\mu_4}$ $\oplus V_{2\mu_2} \oplus k \oplus \Pi V_{\mu_3}$
μ_4	$m = 4:$	$V_{2\mu_1} \oplus V_{\mu_4} \oplus V_{\mu_2+\mu_4}$ $\oplus V_{\mu_2} \oplus V_{2\mu_4} \oplus k$ $\oplus \Pi V_{\mu_3} \oplus \Pi V_{\mu_2+\mu_3} \oplus \Pi V_{\mu_1}$	$V_{\mu_1+\mu_3} \oplus V_{2\mu_1} \oplus V_{2\mu_3}$ $\oplus \Pi V_{\mu_1+\mu_4} \oplus \Pi V_{\mu_3+\mu_4}$ $\oplus \Pi V_{\mu_1+\mu_2}$
μ_5	$m = 5:$	$V_{2\mu_1} \oplus V_{\mu_3+\mu_5} \oplus V_{2\mu_3}$ $\oplus V_{\mu_1+\mu_3} \oplus V_{\mu_1+\mu_5} \oplus 2V_{\mu_5}$ $\oplus \Pi V_{\mu_1+\mu_4} \oplus \Pi V_{\mu_1+\mu_2}$ $\oplus \Pi V_{\mu_3+\mu_4}$	$V_{\mu_2} \oplus V_{2\mu_4} \oplus V_{\mu_2+\mu_4}$ $\oplus V_{\mu_4} \oplus V_{2\mu_2} \oplus k$ $\oplus \Pi V_{\mu_2+\mu_3} \oplus \Pi V_{\mu_3} \oplus \Pi V_{\mu_5}$ $\oplus \Pi V_{\mu_1} \oplus \Pi V_{\mu_4+\mu_5} \oplus \Pi V_{\mu_2+\mu_5}$

Table 5: $S^2(V_\lambda)$ and $\Lambda^2(V_\lambda)$ for all representations V_λ of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{osp}(1|2m)$ such that $0 \leq \dim(V_\lambda) \leq \dim(\mathfrak{g})$. To avoid extra case distinctions we put $\mu_i = \epsilon_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_i$, i.e. $\mu_i = \beta_i$ for $i < m$ but $\mu_m = 2\beta_m$. One has $\dim(V_\lambda) = \dim(\mathfrak{g})$ iff either $\lambda = 2\mu_1$ or $m = 4$, $\lambda = \mu_3$.